Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/557,108	MIZU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. M. Minnifield	1645
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>N. M. Minnifield</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ann M. Skerry, 45655.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 March 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 3-11		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/N. M. Minnifield/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 2/11/10: Examiner requested election of up to 10 sequences from those recited in claim 9 for examination. Applicants elected SEQ ID NO: 7-16. 3/10/10: Examiner indicated that claims 1, 3-8 were in condition for allowance. Examiner indicated that SEQ ID NO: 17 needed to be deleted since Applicants have already received examination of the maximum ten sequences. Examiner indicated that new claims 10 and 11 as written were not allowable because of 112, 1st paragraph problems (written description and lack of enablement). In an effort to put the application and claims in condition for allowance, Examiner indicated that claim 10 should be canceled and that claim 11 should depend from claim 1. Applicants gave approval for the Examiner's Amendment as set forth with this paper (SEQ ID NO: 17 deleted in claim 9, claim 10 canceled, claim 11 depend from claim 1). Claims 1, 3-9 and 11 have been allowed and renumbered 1-9 respectively.